

The fall armyworm converts maize endophytes into its own probiotics to detoxify benzoxazinoids and promote caterpillar growth

Jinfeng Qi^{1,2,3}, Fangjie Xiao^{1,2,3}, Xingxing Liu^{1,2,3}, Jing Li^{1,3}, Haocai Wang⁴, Shu Li⁵, Hongwei Yu⁵, Yuxing Xu^{1,3} and Hang Wang 4^*

Abstract

Background The fall armyworm (FAW, *Spodoptera frugiperda*) threatens maize production worldwide, and benzoxazinoids (Bxs) are known as the main secondary metabolites produced by maize to defend against FAW. However, we do not yet know whether and in what ways certain endophytes in the digestive system of FAW can metabolize Bxs, thus enhancing the ftness of FAW when feeding on maize.

Results Using Bxs as the sole carbon and nitrogen source, we isolated *Pantoea dispersa* from the guts of FAW. *P. dispersa* can colonize maize roots and leaves as indicated by GFP-labeling and further successfully established itself as an endophyte in the Malpighian tubules and the gut of FAW after FAW feeding activities. Once established, it can be vertically transmitted through FAW eggs, suggesting the potential that FAW can convert maize-derived endophytes into symbiotic bacteria for intergenerational transmission. The prevalence of *P. dispersa* in FAW guts and maize leaves was also confrmed over large geographic regions, indicating its evolutionary adaptation in felds. Bxs determination in the gut and frass of FAW combined with bioassays performance on maize *bx2* mutants revealed that the colonization of *P. dispersa* can promote FAW growth by metabolizing Bxs rather than other metabolites. Additionally, genome and transcriptome analyses identifed plasmid-borne genes, rather than chromosomes of this species, were crucial for Bxs metabolism. This was further validated through in vitro prokaryotic expression assays by expressing two candidate genes form the plasmid.

Conclusions FAW can convert maize endophytes into its own probiotics to detoxify Bxs and thus enhance caterpillar growth. This represents a novel strategy for lepidopteran pests—transforming allies of the host into its own—thereby shedding light on the rapid spread of FAW and enhancing our understanding of ecological and evolutionary mechanisms underlying the pest-microbe–plant interactions.

Keywords *Spodoptera frugiperda*, Maize, Benzoxazinoids, *Pantoea dispersa*, Pest-microbe–plant interactions

*Correspondence: Hang Wang hwang17@163.com Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modifed the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

Background

Spodoptera frugiperda, known as fall armyworm (FAW), is a lepidopteran pest that feeds on more than 350 plant species, causing major damage to numerous crops, including maize. FAW was frst reported in the USA in 1876 and was recently found in Europe and Africa (2016), India (2018), and China (2019) [[1\]](#page-14-0). It can complete several generations within a single breeding season, evolves rapidly, and is becoming an endemic pest worldwide. Just 1 year after it was frst reported in China, FAW was listed as a class I crop pest that severely threatens maize production.

Although plants, including maize, cannot move to avoid pests, the course of millions of years of natural evolution and long-term breeding and domestication has led maize to develop complex and effective defense strategies against pests. Maize can recognize elicitors in the oral secretions of pests and subsequently initiate a series of defense responses, including direct and indirect defense responses $[2, 3]$ $[2, 3]$ $[2, 3]$ $[2, 3]$ $[2, 3]$. The direct defense responses include the accumulation of certain secondary metabolites in maize tissues upon insect infestation. These secondary metabolites can poison or inhibit the digestion and absorption processes of insects and inhibit their growth [[2\]](#page-14-1). The most well-studied secondary metabolites against insect herbivores in maize are benzoxazinoids (Bxs, including hydroxamic acid and its derivatives), which are broadspectrum compounds directly involved in the anti-insect response in maize and can even act as natural insecticides [[4\]](#page-14-3). Numerous studies have shown that Bxs are efective at promoting the resistance of maize to many pests, including aphids (*Rhopalosiphum maidis*) [[5\]](#page-14-4), corn borers (*Ostrinia furnacalis*) [[6\]](#page-14-5), *Spodoptera litura*, and FAW [[7\]](#page-14-6). In contrast to other pests, however, FAW can reglycosylate DIMBOA (a major compound of Bxs) to form DIMBOA-Glc, which is subsequently excreted through feces to reduce its toxicity. However, FAW cannot reglycosylate HDMBOA; therefore, HDMBOA retains its toxicity to FAW and therefore serves as a pivotal indicator of Bx compounds for the control of FAW [\[7\]](#page-14-6).

The battle between plants and their insect pests has lasted approximately 400 million years [[8\]](#page-14-7). Current studies in the plant–herbivore interaction feld have focused mainly on the accumulation of plant secondary metabolites against herbivores and the functions of detoxifcation enzymes, such as carboxylesterases (CarEs) [\[9](#page-14-8)], glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), and cytochrome P450s (P450s), in the insect gut $[10]$. However, little attention has been given to investigating whether microorganisms help plants defend against pests or aid pests in detoxifying and metabolizing relevant anti-insect secondary metabolites.

Microbial–plant, microbial–microbe, and microbial– insect interactions have been extensively documented. Microorganisms are active participants in a wide range of physiological processes in plants, with the most wellknown example being the nitrogen-fxing bacteria in legumes. These bacteria can convert atmospheric nitrogen into nitrates, which are readily accessible for plant utilization [\[11](#page-14-10)]. Nitrogen-fxing bacteria, such as *Pantoea* and *Klebsiella* spp., have also been reported to cooperate with fungi and convert atmospheric nitrogen into available compounds as fertilizers that fungi can use, facilitating the growth of fungi and providing food for ants [\[12](#page-14-11)]. It was also found that when endophytic bacteria including *Pantoea dispersa* are removed from the gut of a stinkbug (*Plautia stali*), the mortality rate of *P. stali* increases signifcantly, and reinoculation with endophytes restored the normal growth of *P. stali* [[13\]](#page-14-12). Microbes were also found to be involved in the digestion of plant metabolites within the insect gut. For instance, the sap-sucking beetle *Cassida rubiginosa* relies on the pectinase secreted by its endophyte *Stammera* to decompose pectin present in the plant cell wall [\[14](#page-14-13)]. *Stammera* can be vertically transferred to the next generation of beetles via their eggs. Certain gut microbes can also help insects grow and survive by detoxifying plant-specifc secondary metabolites. For instance, some species in the gut microbiota of the cofee berry borer (*Hypothenemus hampei*) subsist entirely on caffeine as a sole carbon and nitrogen source, and this detoxifcation of cafeine is essential for the survival of *H. hampei* [\[15](#page-14-14)].

Despite the studies mentioned above, current research on the triadic interactions between herbivores, microbes, and plants remains limited. We wondered whether and how certain microbes are involved in the battle between maize and FAW. This presents a clear gap in the field of plant–herbivore resistance research, especially in regard to economic crops. In this study, we aimed to determine whether FAW can convert maize endophytes into its own endophytes when feeding on maize leaves and whether the acquired species after conversion can metabolize Bxs, helping FAW detoxify these compounds and promote caterpillar growth. Elucidating the underlying mechanism may help to improve our understanding of the rapid worldwide spread of this devastating maize pest. Here, we isolated a well-reported environmental microbe, *P. dispersa*, which was initially an endophyte of maize but was able to establish itself within the Malpighian tubules of FAW and metabolize Bxs during FAW feeding and promote FAW growth. Our fnding of a single species of microbe acquired by FAW when feeding on maize and consuming Bxs as a substrate sheds light on the mechanisms underlying the spread of these major pests among

crops and provides a new perspective on plant–microbe– herbivore interactions.

Methods

For detailed information on the materials and methods, please refer to supplementary note 1.

Separation and purifcation of Bxs

Bxs separation and purifcation were performed through the Amberlite XAD-7-mediated ion exchange method modifed according to previous methods [\[16](#page-14-15)].

Screening of Bx‑metabolizing *bacteria*

Bacteria from the gut of field-collected 5th instar FAW larvae were screened by utilizing Bxs as the sole carbon and nitrogen source via 7 rounds of selection. The ability of the screened bacteria on Bxs metabolism was determined on an LC–MS system (LCMS8040, Shimazu) [\[17](#page-14-16)].

GFP labeling and *P. dispersa* **location imaging**

The colonization of both maize and FAW by *P. dispersa* was tracked by utilizing the green fuorescent protein (GFP) gene [\[18](#page-14-17), [19\]](#page-14-18)-transformed *P. dispersa*. All images were taken with a motorized fuorescence stereomicroscope (SMZ-18, Nikon) equipped with a $13.5 \times$ objective lens (Nikon) as well as a research microscope equipped with fuorescence flters and a light source (Leica DM5500 B).

Aseptic insect handling and inoculation with symbionts

Aseptic insects were generated by egg sterilization, after which gentamicin, streptomycin, rifampicin, and tetracycline were added to the diet. Herbivore performance was indicated by the fnal larval mass as previously reported [[17\]](#page-14-16).

Determination of nitrogen fxation

The nitrogen fixation activity of the isolated *P. dispersa* was measured based on acetylene reduction methods following a previous study [\[12](#page-14-11)].

Collection of feld samples, preparation of DNA and qPCR

The sampling sites spanned the geographical coordinates of 98.495 E to 112.332 E and 18.386 N to 24.755 N (Fig. S1) during the FAW outbreak season (August 2021 to August 2023). The absolute abundance of *P. dispersa* in feld-collected maize leaves, FAW and two other greenhouse-raised maize pests (*Mythimna separata* and *Spodoptera litura*), was determined by adding known number of *Escherichia coli* cells harboring a plasmid containing an artifcial DNA fragment before DNA extraction as an external standard according to our previous study [\[20](#page-14-19)].

16S rRNA and genome sequencing

16S rRNA and genome sequencing were performed on the PacBio Sequel platform at Shanghai Personal Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The detailed data analysis included adapter removal [[21\]](#page-14-20), assembly of the fltered sequencing data [[22\]](#page-14-21), tRNA prediction [\[23](#page-14-22)], and annotation of protein-coding genes as previously described [[24\]](#page-14-23).

Transcriptome analysis of *P. dispersa*

P. dispersa grown in mineral media (Con) or mineral media supplemented with Bxs were sequenced on an Illumina platform by Shanghai Personal Biotechnology Co., Ltd. After fltering out low-quality reads [\[21\]](#page-14-20), HTSeq 0.6.1p2 and DESeq (version 1.18.0) were used to identify diferentially expressed genes (DEGs) [\[24\]](#page-14-23).

Enzyme activity experiment

The target gene IDs and primers used are listed in Table S1. The PCR products were ligated into the pet32a+vector and subsequently introduced into the BL21 strain. The protein of target gene was induced via 1 mM IPTG and purifed with a His-tag Protein Purifcation Kit (Beyotime Biotechnology) and confrmed via western blotting with a His-tag antibody (Abmart) to confrm full translation of the target genes. Bxs levels were determined via LC–MS and compared between BL21 strains expressing the pet32a+empty vector and those infused with target genes or among the abovementioned purifed proteins in 25 mM Hepes bufer (pH 7.0) as previously reported [\[25\]](#page-14-24).

Results

Isolation and identifcation of microorganisms that metabolize Bxs

We frst examined the growth of FAW in the presence of natural gut microbiome through the metabolism of Bxs. By feeding normal and aseptic FAW larva on surfacesterilized maize leaves, we found that the normal FAW gained signifcantly more weight than the aseptic FAW (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)A), and when these FAW feeding on the artifcial diet with or without Bxs, the aseptic FAW gained less weight only when feeding on diets with Bxs (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)B), indicating the overall microbiome in the gut of FAW (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)C) can assist FAW growth by coping with Bxs. These results inspired us to further explore certain bacterial species in gut of FAW that can metabolite Bxs, which is a group of compounds containing carbon and nitrogen [[26\]](#page-14-25). We used gradient dilution (for selecting out microorganisms cannot metabolize Bxs) and enrichment cultivation (for propagating microorganisms metabolize Bxs) to isolate FAW endophytes capable of using Bxs as

carbon and nitrogen source and sequenced 32 isolates that grew on agar plates (Fig. [1D](#page-3-0)), which included *P. dispersa*, *Klebsiella variicola*, *Brucella cicero*, *Brucella intermedia*, and *Ochrobactrum* sp. After reconfrmation with liquid media supplemented with Bxs as the sole carbon and/or nitrogen source, we found that the only strain that had a signifcant efect on the metabolism of Bxs was *P. dispersa*. When Bxs served as the sole carbon source (indicated as plus N [nitrogen]), the remaining levels of DIMBOA, DIMBOA-Glc, HDMBOA-Glc, and HMBOA-Glc were 62.5%, 43.0%, 58.0%, and 34.2%, respectively, after 3 h of metabolization by *P. dispersa*. Moreover, when Bxs served as the sole nitrogen source (indicated as plus C [carbon]) or served as both a nitrogen and carbon source (indicated as No CN, Fig. [1](#page-3-0)E–H), the levels of remaining Bxs after 3 h of metabolism by *P. dispersa* were also signifcantly lower than those in the controls (with a medium containing an equivalent amount of *P. dispersa* that was rendered inactive at 65 °C for 30 min, accounting for any potential adsorption efects of bacterial cells on Bxs). These data indicate that *P. dispersa* can use Bxs as both a carbon and a nitrogen source.

Colonization of *P. dispersa* **in maize and FAW**

To investigate whether *P. dispersa* could be acquired by FAW during feeding on maize leaves, and to clarify the exact spatial distribution of this species within maize leaves and the digestive system of FAW, we fuorescently labeled *P. dispersa* strain with GFP (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)A). Three days after GFP-labeled *P. dispersa* was applied to maize roots or sprayed on the leaves, it was found to be located in roots (Fig. S2A-B) and in leaf veins (Fig. [2B](#page-5-0), C). This indicated that environmental *P. dispersa* can successfully establish itself in maize roots and leaves. No fuorescence was observed in the maize leaves after the wild-type *P. dispersa* strain was inoculated into maize leaves (Fig. S2). Analysis of maize leaf sections revealed that *P. dispersa* was mainly concentrated in the sclerenchyma strands and vascular bundles (Fig. [2D](#page-5-0), E). To further investigate whether *P. dispersa* was able to establish itself within the digestive system of FAW and, if so, to determine the exact site of colonization, we fed newly hatched aseptic FAW on maize leaves in which GFP-labeled *P. dispersa* had been previously inoculated onto maize roots. We found that *P. dispersa* exclusively colonized FAW Malpighian tubules, as indicated by the presence of GFP fuorescence (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)F–H, Fig. S3). To further reveal the exact presence of *P. dispersa* in FAW, we dissected FAW and then quantifed the GFP abundance in diferent tissues using a qPCR approach. The result showed that *P. dispersa* mainly colonized the Malpighian tubules, followed by the gut, whereas fat body and cuticle almost showed no *P. dispersa* colonization (Fig. [2I](#page-5-0)). The reason why we did not observe fuorescence in the gut is probably due to the low abundance of *P. dispersa* in gut than that in Malpighian tubules $(2^{5.95} = 62.0$ times, 2I), and the gut possessed much larger bulk than Malpighian tubules, resulting in dispersion of the GFP fuorescence. Moreover, *P. dispersa* showed comparative abundance in whole FAW caterpillar with that of Malpighian tubules (Fig. [2I](#page-5-0)).

The Malpighian tubules are the main detoxification and metabolism organs in lepidopteran larvae and are actively involved in defenses against and metabolism of xenobiotic and toxic compounds [[27\]](#page-15-0). Bxs determination results showed that levels of all the major Bx components were greater in the Malpighian tubules than in the midgut (Fig. $3A-E$ $3A-E$). This indicated FAW transports harmful Bx components to the Malpighian tubules to avoid subsequent poisoning.

P. dispersa **assists larval growth by metabolizing Bxs**

To determine whether colonization of the Malpighian tubules by *P. dispersa* is conducive to the growth of FAW, aseptic FAW larvae with and without *P. dispersa* inoculation were allowed to feed on wild-type and *bx2* mutant maize (in which Bxs were almost absent) [\[28](#page-15-1)]. Inoculation of aseptic FAW larvae with *P. dispersa* signifcantly increased FAW weight gain but showed no efect on the growth of FAW larvae when feeding on *bx2* mutants (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)F), demonstrating that *P. dispersa* afects the growth of FAW mainly through the metabolism of Bx compounds, rather than other metabolites.

⁽See fgure on next page.)

Fig. 1 Isolation, cultivation, and identifcation of microorganisms metabolizing Bxs. **A** Mass of normal (Nor) and aseptic (Ase) *S. frugiperda* (FAW) larvae when feeding on surface-sterilized wild-type (WT) maize leaves and **B** when feeding on the artifcial diet with or without Bxs. **C** The overall microbiome composition of normal FAW revealed by full-length 16S rRNA gene sequencing. **D** Guts from feld-collected 5th instar larvae of FAW were ground in PBS bufer; microbial species were cultivated in medium with Bxs as the sole carbon and nitrogen source. After 7 rounds of enrichment, the obtained colonies were isolated on solidifed media. The metabolic degradation of Bxs by individual colonies was revealed through LC–MS. The red dots symbolize the enriched species that can metabolize Bxs. **E**–**H** Quantitative determination of Bx levels following metabolism by *P. dispersa*. Bx levels were assessed under four treatments: with heat-inactivated *P. dispersa* inoculation (Con), with *P. dispersa* inoculation without other carbon or nitrogen (No CN), with *P. dispersa* inoculation with added glucose (Plus C), and with *P. dispersa* inoculation with added NH₄Cl (Plus N). The Bx levels were determined 3 h after inoculation at 28 °C. The data are means ± SEs. Asterisks indicate signifcant diferences between the control and other treatments (Student's *t* test, *n*=6; **, *P*<0.01)

Fig. 1 (See legend on previous page.)

To evaluate the overall contribution of *P. dispersa* to Bxs metabolism within the entire FAW larval gut microbiome, we performed both in vivo and in vitro experiments. For in vivo experiments, aseptic, normal (in the presence of the natural gut microbiome), and *P. dispersa-*inoculated FAW were starved for 4 h, followed

Fig. 2 Tissue-specifc localization of *P. dispersa* in maize and FAW larvae. **A** Fluorescence micrographs of GFP-labeled *P. dispersa*. **B**, **C** Images showing maize leaves and **D**, **E** tissue slices after GFP-labeled *P. dispersa* inoculation into maize roots. **F**, **G** Images showing gut and Malpighian tubules of FAW after feeding on the aforementioned maize leaves. **H** The dissected Malpighian tubules. Plots **B**, **D**, and **F** were taken under visible light, and plots **C**, **E**, **G**, and **I** represent the same images under UV light. **I** Absolute abundance of *P. dispersa* in diferent tissues of FAW. FB, fat body; CU, cuticle; GUT, whole gut; MT, Malpighian tubules; FAW, whole FAW caterpillar. The data are means±SEs. Diferent letters denote signifcant diferences between treatments based on one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's multiple comparison test at *P*<0.05 (*n*=8)

diet until reaching the 5th instars, which were subsequently fed surface-sterilized maize leaves for additional 2 days. The midgut and Malpighian tubules were then dissected for Bxs determination after a 4-h starvation period. The data are means±SEs. Asterisks indicate signifcant diferences between tissues (***P*<0.01; ****P*<0.001; Student's *t* test, *n*=4, every biological replicate represents a pool of 5 larval samples; MG: midgut, MT: Malpighian tubules). **F** Mass of aseptic (Ase) FAW larvae and *P. dispersa-*inoculated FAW larvae when feeding on WT and *bx2* mutants. Diferent letters denote signifcant diferences between treatments based on one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's multiple comparison test at *P*<0.05 (*n*=25–37)

by feeding on surface-sterilized maize leaves. Maize tissues in the gut of FAW was then immediately dissected for Bxs determination 3 h after feeding. We found that Bxs levels in guts from the normal and the *P. dispersa*inoculated FAW groups were all signifcantly reduced compared with those in aseptic group (Fig. [4A](#page-7-0)–E). This demonstrates that both the entire FAW larval gut microbiome and the inoculated *P. dispersa* can reduce Bxs levels in the gut of FAW. For in vitro experiments, the gut contents from above mentioned treatments were frst collected. Three hours after inoculation of these gut contents in crude Bxs extracts from maize leaves (containing Bxs and proteins, representing the actual nutritional status of FAW guts), the gut contents from both normal and *P. dispersa*-inoculated FAW groups signifcantly reduced Bxs levels compared to those in aseptic FAW group (Fig. S4A-E). Notably, the higher metabolism rate of HDM-BOA-Glc and HMBOA-Glc by gut contents from naturally reared FAW larvae, as compared to those inoculated with *P. dispersa* (Fig. S4A-E), suggests the presence of other probiotics in the FAW gut that may contribute to Bxs detoxifcation. Moreover, the levels of Bxs in the frass of both normal and *P. dispersa*-inoculated group were signifcantly lower than those in the frass from the aseptic FAW (Fig. S4F), indicating that both natural gut microbiome and *P. dispersa*, as a key member in gut microbial community, assists FAW through detoxifying Bx compounds. What is more, by using Bxs as the sole carbon and nitrogen source, or using the crude extracts, the proliferation rate of *P. dispersa* (indicated by fold changes in microbial biomass, Fig. [4F](#page-7-0)) was 2.5 and 26.6, respectively. These data support that Bxs can be metabolized for the synthesis of other molecules to sustain the survival of *P. dispersa*, both with or without support from other nutrients.

Enrichment of *P. dispersa* **by FAW**

P. dispersa is a relatively common bacterium in the natural environment and a well-known maize endophyte with a nitrogen-fixing function, which can significantly promote the growth of maize, sugarcane, and other herbaceous plants $[29]$ $[29]$. Through the acetylene reduction ethylene reaction assay, we demonstrated the biological nitrogen fxation capability of *P. dispersa* (Fig. S5),

Fig. 4 Bxs metabolism by gut microbiome and *P. dispersa* in FAW. **A–E** The 5th instar of aseptic (Ase), normal (Nor, with the entire FAW larval gut microbiome), and *P. dispersa*-inoculated FAW were starved for 4 h, followed by feeding on surface-sterilized maize leaves for 3 h. Maize tissues in the gut of FAW was then immediately dissected for Bxs determination. Different letters denote significant differences between treatments based on one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's multiple comparison test at *P*<0.05 (*n*=5). **F** The proliferation rate of *P. dispersa* under diferent substrates (Bxs and crude extract) was determined by centrifuging the cultures after 12 h of shaking at 28 °C and 200 rpm, measured as the fold changes in microbial biomass. The data are means±SEs. Asterisks indicate significant differences between different substrates (Student's *t* test, *n*=6; ***, *P*<0.001)

confrming its pivotal role as a maize endophyte partly through facilitating nitrogen transformation and utilization by maize.

To examine the presence of this species in both FAW and maize in the natural environment, we investigated the absolute abundance of *P. dispersa* in maize and FAW in seven maize-growing farmlands in diferent geographic regions in China using qPCR. We found that the presence of *P. dispersa* in FAW guts (including the Malpighian tubules) and maize leaves was ubiquitous, and moreover, its absolute abundance in FAW guts was

signifcantly greater than that in maize leaves collected from the same felds (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)A), indicating that FAW can signifcantly enrich *P. dispersa* in the gut through feeding on maize leaves. Furthermore, we found that the absolute abundance of *P. dispersa* in 2-month-old wildtype maize leaves was also signifcantly greater than that in *bx2* mutant leaves (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)B), implying that the Bxs secreted by maize roots may be essential for recruiting and the growth of *P. dispersa*. Taken together, these feld datasets demonstrate that *P. dispersa* widely colonizes both FAW and maize in natural farmlands. Moreover,

FAW and in the FAW-infested maize leaves, detected in pairs from seven regions across Southern China. FW: fresh weight. **B** Absolute abundance of *P. dispersa* detected in the leaves of two-month-old wild-type (WT) maize and *bx2* mutants. **C–E** Absolute abundance of *P. dispersa* detected in the testes (**C**), eggs (**D**) (every 10 eggs, laid out by GFP-labeled *P. dispersa* inoculated FAW), and Malpighian tubules (**E**) (1st and 2.nd represent the frst and the second generation, respectively). Con, control; Folu-N, fuorescence-negative; Folu-P, fuorescence-positive. The data are means±SEs. Asterisks indicate signifcant diferences between treatments (Student's *t* test, *n*=6–8; ***P*<0.01; ****P*<0.001)

the enrichment of *P. dispersa* in maize as an endophyte appears to be associated with Bx compounds.

Vertical transmission of *P. dispersa* **in FAW**

The vertical transmission of commensal bacteria has been shown to play an important role in the growth and development of insects [[13](#page-14-12), [14](#page-14-13)]. To assess whether the transformation of *P. dispersa* from a maize endophyte into a probiotic for FAW is vertically transmissible to subsequent generations, we dissected pre-pupal stage FAW inoculated with GFP-labeled *P. dispersa* and observed GFP fuorescence in their testes (Fig. [5C](#page-8-0), Fig. S6A-B). Notably, 19 out of 31 male pre-pupal stage's FAW testes exhibited GFP fuorescence, with *P. dispersa* abundance signifcantly higher than that showed no GFP fluorescence (indicated by copy number, $2^{13.9}$ vs. $2^{1.8}$, Fig. [5C](#page-8-0)). Similarly, we observed GFP fluorescence in some FAW eggs following GFP-labeled *P. dispersa* inoculation (Fig. S6C-D). DNA extraction from these eggs, followed by qPCR, revealed that pre-inoculation of FAW larvae with *P. dispersa* signifcantly increased its presence in the eggs (Fig. [5D](#page-8-0)). Furthermore, after GFP-labeled *P. dispersa* inoculation, GFP fuorescence was observed in 100% (33/33) of the frst-generation and 32.4% (11/34) of the second-generation FAW in their Malpighian tubules. Moreover, the abundance of *P. dispersa* in the Malpighian tubules that showed fuorescence was similar between the two generations (Fig. $5E$ $5E$). Those data suggest that after conversion to a probiotic of FAW, *P. dispersa* strains can be vertically spread through FAW eggs for intergenerational transmission. However, it should be note that although *P. dispersa* established in all frst-generation FAW larvae's Malpighian tubules, only 61.3% of FAW showed further establishment by *P. dispersa* in their testes (Fig. [5C](#page-8-0)–E).

P. dispersa **does not promote the growth of** *M. separata*

To explore whether this herbivore–microbe relationship is unique to FAW, we examined the role of *P. dispersa* in supporting the growth of another maize pest, *M. separata*, which shares a common lineage with FAW within the Noctuidae family. Herbivore performance was tested using aseptic *M. separata* with or without *P. dispersa* inoculation. The inoculation of *P. dispersa* had no obvious efect on the growth of *M. separata* when feeding on maize leaves (Fig. S7), implying that *P. dispersa* did not settle in the digestive system of *M. separata* or that the habitat within the digestive system of *M. separata* larvae was not favorable for the metabolism of Bxs by *P. dispersa* after colonization. This also suggested that the microenvironment in the guts of lepidopteran larvae of diferent species may have an impact on the colonization or function of certain microbial species.

Diferent herbivores enrich distinct gut microbiomes

We then sequenced the gut microbes from both FAW and two frequently reported maize herbivores (*M. separata* and *Spodoptera litura*) after aseptic larvae had been allowed to feed on the same greenhouse-grown maize leaves until the $5th$ instar. We found that the community

assemblages of the gut microbiota were distinctly different among the three insect species (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)A). Principal coordinate analysis revealed that the initial two axes explained 56.1% of the total variation in gut bacterial communities among these insects. The first axis (explaining 33.7% of the variance) distinctly diferentiated FAW and *S. litura* from *M. separata*, whereas the second axis (explaining 22.4% of the variance) separated FAW from *S. litura* by their gut microbiota assemblages. The gut bacterial assemblages difered signifcantly (*p*=0.005; PER-MANOVA) among all three insects (Fig. [6A](#page-9-0)).

The taxa with the highest abundance in the guts of the three insect species were Proteobacteria, followed by Actinobacteria and Firmicutes. Notably, *P. dispersa* exhibited a signifcantly greater abundance in the FAW than in the other two insect species. Its relative abundance within the gut microbiota of FAW ranged from 1.44% to 15.44% of all commensal species. From an evolutionary perspective, *P. dispersa* is phylogenetically related to *Erwinia persicina* and *Erwinia iniecta*, both of which belong to the

Fig. 6 Gut microbiomes of three insects determined via full-length bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequencing. **A** Gut bacterial β diversity between three insects illustrated using principal coordinate analysis, followed by permutational analysis of variance and a homogeneity of dispersion test. **B** Phylogenetic tree plot of the top 20 ASVs and a heatmap plot with the hierarchical clustering of all samples showing the relative abundance (%) of each ASV among the three insects. Here, a relative color scheme was employed by covering the minimum and maximum values in each row (i.e., each ASV) with colors. Diferences in the relative abundance of each ASV among the three insects were further examined using the nonparametric Wilcoxon's *U* test. For ASVs that were signifcantly enriched, the highest abundances in the corresponding insect gut are shown in bar charts on the right side of the heatmap plot

phylum Proteobacteria. Interestingly, all the ASVs that demonstrated greater abundance in *M. separata* than in the other two insects were Actinobacteria, whereas those exhibiting greater abundance in FAW and *S. litura* were both Proteobacteria and Firmicutes (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)B). Overall, the gut microenvironments of the larvae of diferent species of lepidopterans could shape their unique gut microbial signatures, with *P. dispersa* being particularly enriched in the gut of FAW when feeding on maize leaves.

Exploration of the candidate genes involved in metabolizing Bxs in *P. dispersa*

To explore the pivotal genes within *P. dispersa* that are responsible for Bxs metabolism, we performed genomic sequencing of *P. dispersa* and transcriptomic sequencing before and after the introduction of Bxs. Genome sequencing revealed that the *P. dispersa* genome has a chromosome size of 4.15 Mb and a GC content of 57.70%, encompassing a total of 3824 encoded genes. The *P. dispersa* genome contained two plasmids: plasmid 1, 677 kb in length with a GC content of 57.67%, which encoded 646 genes, and plasmid 2, 157 kb in length with a GC content of 53.88%, which encoded 188 genes. The total genome size was 4.99 Mb (Fig. S8A-C).

A total of 396 genes were upregulated, and 237 genes were downregulated upon the introduction of Bxs compared with those in the controls (Table S2). Of the top 10 highly expressed genes, 7 originated from plasmids. The expression of two gene clusters was strongly induced in plasmids. Cluster one included seven genes (plasmid2_41-47, named No.#1-#7), fve of which were among the top 10 most strongly upregulated genes. Cluster two included two genes (plasmid1_61-62, named No. #8-#9), both of which were among the top 10 most strongly upregulated gene (Fig. S8D, Table S2). These findings imply that genes in plasmids of *P. dispersa* may play a crucial role in metabolizing Bxs as the sole carbon and nitrogen source.

The eggNOG functional annotation revealed that genes in the plasmids upregulated in response to Bxs metabolism were mainly involved in energy production and conversion and carbohydrate transport (Table S2). GO enrichment suggested that the organic acid biosynthetic process, carboxylic acid biosynthetic process, and cellular amino acid metabolic process were involved in the metabolism of Bxs (Fig. $S9$). The most enriched GO processes were the organic nitrogen compound metabolic process ($p = 0.001$) and the organic substance metabolic process (*p*=0.03) (Fig. S10). Surprisingly, 34 genes involved in fagellin biosynthesis or movement were upregulated (Table S3), implying that Bxs may serve as important signals to attract *P. dispersa* by promoting fagellin biosynthesis or movement. However, this needs further investigation.

To investigate which *P. dispersa* genes are involved in the metabolism of Bxs, we successfully cloned 9 genes (i.e., abovementioned #1–#9 in two gene clusters) that were highly expressed during the process of Bxs metabolism. After they were inserted into the pet32a+vector and introduced into the BL21 strain for protein expression, six of these genes were fully translated into proteins (Fig. [7A](#page-11-0)). We performed enzyme activity assay using the purifed proteins, only proteins translated from the target genes #1 and #7 (i.e., plasmid2_41 and plasmid2_47, annotated as pre-guanitoxin N-hydroxylase GntA and MOSC domain-containing protein, respectively) were involved in Bxs metabolism (Fig. S11).

To confrm the above fndings, we performed Bxs metabolism assays using cell strains that expressed target genes #1 and #7 and obtained similar results (Fig. [7B](#page-11-0)–E), further strengthened that the proteins encoded by target genes #1 and #7 are involved in Bxs metabolism. Additionally, #1 and #7 played distinct roles in the metabolism of different Bx compounds. The ability of protein $#1$ metabolizing DIMBOA and DIMBOA-Glc was greater than that of protein #7, but there was no diference in the ability of metabolizing HDMBOA-Glc between them. To support this fnding, we obtained another *P. dispersa* strain (hereafter referred to as OsPD), which was previously identifed as a core member of the microbiota in rice and can be vertically transmitted as a seed endophyte [[30,](#page-15-3) [31](#page-15-4)]. Gene-specific primer cloning and sequencing revealed that OsPD did not produce PCR products for candidate genes #1–#7 but did harbor candidate genes #8 and #9, although those two genes exhibited some SNPs compared to those in our screened *P. dispersa* strain (hereafter referred to as ZmPD) (Fig. S12-S15). Compared with OsPD, ZmPD presented higher Bxs metabolism rates (Fig. [7](#page-11-0)B–E), which verifed the role of target genes #1 and #7 in ZmPD strains in metabolism Bxs. Therefore, different strains of *P. dispersa* may possess diverse genes in addition to the aforementioned genes #1 and #7 that are involved in Bxs metabolism.

Discussion

The global spread of FAW poses a significant threat to maize production, and this widespread threat appears to be intricately tied to its gut microbiota. Bxs, especially HDMBOA-Glc, are efective anti-FAW compounds produced by maize to defend FAW attack [\[7](#page-14-6)]. As nitrogencontaining organic compounds, Bxs can serve as nitrogen and carbon source for a diverse array of environmental bacteria, including those inhabiting the guts of maizefeeding insects. After 7 rounds of cultivation, agar plate planting, and Bxs metabolism analyses using LC–MS, we

Fig. 7 Identification of candidate genes involved in Bxs metabolism. A Western blot of the purified proteins from candidate gene expression products. **B–E** Quantitative determination of Bx levels following metabolism in BL21 cells expressing candidate genes (#1 and #7), with BL21 cells expressing pet32a+empty vector serving as a control (Con). ZmPD represents *P. dispersa* strains screened in this study and OsPD represents strains previously found in rice. Determination of Bxs was performed 3 h after cell inoculation at 28 °C. The data are means±SEs. Diferent letters denote signifcant diferences between treatments based on one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's multiple comparison test at *P*<0.05 (*n*=6)

successfully obtained *P. dispersa* from the gut of FAW. Upon inoculation of maize roots with GFP-labeled *P. dispersa*, we observed bacteria in the vascular system of both maize leaves and roots, which is similar to the fndings for another *P. dispersa* strain found in sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*), where root inoculated *P. dispersa* was further observed in the leaf petiole [[32](#page-15-5)]. When aseptic FAW fed these maize leaves, *P. dispersa* was able to establish itself in the Malpighian tubules and guts of FAW larvae. Herbivore performance bioassays revealed that *P. dispersa* signifcantly promoted FAW growth, and this promotion effect was directly associated with the metabolism of Bxs as revealed via bioassays performed on both artifcial diet and maize seedings (*bx2* mutants) with or without Bxs (Figs. [1](#page-3-0) and [5](#page-8-0)). *P. dispersa* can further establish itself in the testes at the prepupal stage. Moreover, we observed fuorescence in eggs from the frst generation and Malpighian tubules from the second generation, and the abundance of *P. dispersa* was also confrmed (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)C–E, Fig. S6). It indicates that *P. dispersa* can be vertically spread through eggs for intergenerational transmission. Similarly, the bacterium *Asaia* has been found in the spermatic bundles and Malpighian tubules of the host insect (*Scaphoideus titanus*), which can also be vertically transmitted $[33]$ $[33]$. The simultaneous localization in both maize and FAW, as well as the conversion of maize endophytes into FAW probiotics, is demonstrated for the frst time in this study. Our feld sampling further confrmed the coexistence of *P. dispersa* in FAW guts and maize leaves collected from seven independent natural farmlands. The pronounced enrichment of this species in the FAW gut underscores its role as a crucial member of the gut microbial community, potentially contributing to the widespread distribution of FAW.

P. dispersa is a common environmental microbe. Previous research has focused primarily on its ability to fx nitrogen [\[12\]](#page-14-11). It has been demonstrated to promote the growth of many economic crops, including maize, sugarcane, wheat, and rice [[17](#page-14-16), [29\]](#page-15-2). We also confrmed the nitrogen-fxing ability of *P. dispersa* as a maize endophyte (Fig. S5). Moreover, as a member of the insect gut microbiome, *P. dispersa* has been found to confer benefts to diverse insect orders. For instance, female *Bactrocera dorsalis* fed a diet supplemented with *P. dispersa* lay more eggs than controls [[34\]](#page-15-7). *P. dispersa* reduces the mortality rate and is essential for the normal growth of the stinkbug *Plautia splendens* [\[13](#page-14-12)], highlighting the mutual relationship between *P. dispersa* and certain insects. In parallel, in our study, we present a frst study showing that *P. dispersa* can also efectively improved the ftness of FAW as a probiotic, by facilitating the detoxifcation of Bxs. This occurred during insect feeding, as the maizecolonized *P. dispersa* was recruited and further proliferated within the FAW Malpighian tubules and the guts. Other studies have shown that certain *Pseudomonas* species colonizing the Malpighian tubules play a role in the transstadial passage from larvae to adult mosquitoes, specifcally in *Anopheles stephensi*, thereby contributing to mosquito paratransgenesis $[35]$ $[35]$ $[35]$. The bacteria found in the Malpighian tubules likely originate from the gut, as these tubules are directly connected to it. On one hand, the Malpighian tubules serve as detoxifcation organs for lepidopteran larvae, where they accumulate toxic compounds originated from host plants. On the other hand, these compounds can also be metabolized by probiotics colonized in these organs [[27](#page-15-0)].

In our study, the *P. dispersa* isolated from the gut of FAW was likely recruited from the soil environment due to the exudation of Bxs from maize roots. The primary and secondary root metabolites are key regulators that shape rhizobiome microbial assemblages [\[36](#page-15-9)]. Another possibility is that *P. dispersa* just attached to maize leaves without becoming an endophyte and can be consumed by FAW, as previously reported [\[37](#page-15-10)]. Most of all, Bxs exuded from roots or apoplasts is a key trait in maize for the recruitment of certain microbes [\[38](#page-15-11), [39\]](#page-15-12). *P. dispersa* may migrate and settle through root absorption processes as indicated by GFP fuorescence in the vascular system (Fig. S2, Fig. [2B](#page-5-0)–E). Furthermore, we found *P.* *dispersa* can utilize Bxs over a wide range of pH values (Fig. S16), which indicated the strong ability of *P. dispersa* to adapt Bxs metabolism.

Previous reports have also indicated the strong ability of FAW to harness gut microbes. For instance, when faced with nutrient-defcient food, nutrient utilization efficiency is enhanced in FAW larvae through the aid of gut microbes [[40\]](#page-15-13). In addition, *Klebsiella* sp. isolated from the gut of FAW can decompose PVC plastic as an energy source in the absence of other foods [\[41](#page-15-14)]. Moreover, *Pseudomonas* spp. isolated from feld-collected FAW larvae can utilize pesticides as their sole carbon source to enhance FAW resistance to pesticides $[42]$ $[42]$. These lines of evidence help to explain the widespread distribution of FAW. Our study provides additional insights into the role of *P. dispersa* as a crucial gut microbe that assists FAW feeding on maize leaves and illustrates the triangular relationships among herbivores, microbes, and plants. Indeed, a cohort of microorganisms may contribute to FAW ftness. However, our study cannot rule out the possibility that other unidentifed bacterial species act as probiotics in the FAW gut by participating in the detoxifcation of Bxs. For example, certain candidate bacteria may exhibit strong Bxs degradation activity only with the support of additional nutrients, and there may also be synergistic interactions among diferent bacterial species that can enhance this detoxification process. This necessitates further investigation.

In natural environments, leaf microbiomes can be enriched in the guts of herbivorous insects following feeding [\[37](#page-15-10)], which heavily relies on insect identity, adaptability, and ftness to certain host plants. We found a remarkable prevalence of *P. dispersa* in both the FAW gut and maize leaves in natural farmlands, whereas the inoculation of *P. dispersa* had no obvious efect on the growth of *M. separata*. Moreover, the relative abundance of *P. dispersa* in the gut of FAW was signifcantly greater than that in the guts of *M. separata* or *S. litura* fed the same greenhouse-cultured maize leaves (Fig. [6B](#page-9-0)). These fndings suggested that the microbial colonization patterns are specifc to certain insect species and underscore the importance of subtle diferences in the gut microenvironment in facilitating such colonization [[40](#page-15-13)].

Pantoea spp. have been observed in association with many other hosts, including soybean, birds, fsh, ruminants, and humans $[35]$ $[35]$. However, the ability of these bacteria to colonize hosts has remained unpredictable, and the host range and colonization patterns for most of the isolates are largely unknown. *Pantoea* spp. accounted for the largest proportion of all isolated cafeine-degrading bacteria from *H. hampei* guts, highlighting their crucial role in detoxifying special chemicals (e.g., secondary metabolites from host

plants) detoxification [[15\]](#page-14-14). The settlement of *P. dispersa* in the gut of FAW, as opposed to other lepidopteran species, suggested a high degree of compatibility between *P. dispersa* and FAW. The plasmid in *Pantoea* spp. was originally derived from an ancestral plasmid that encodes a larger array of proteins play roles in the adaptation to various ecological niches [[35](#page-15-8)]. As evidence, genes located on the plasmid were more upregulated than those on the chromosomes when responding to Bxs metabolism (Fig. S8D, Table S2). Moreover, the protein encoded by target gene #1 was involved in nitrogen assimilation. Given the long-term evolutionary history of *Pantoea* spp., diverse proteins in diferent strains (such as ZmPD and OsPD) might be involved in the metabolism of Bxs (Fig. [7B](#page-11-0)–E).

Symbiotic microorganisms serve as vital driving forces for the long-term evolution of insects and plants. During symbiosis, microbial genes can integrate into the host, enabling the host to acquire new functions. The most classic example involves chloroplasts and mitochondria, which have long been assumed to be derived from exogenous microorganisms gradually transition into plant organelles [\[43](#page-15-16)]. In this study, the maize endophyte *P. dispersa* was converted to a probiotic in the FAW gut, which was subsequently transferred to the next generation through eggs, suggesting evolutionary interactions between *P. dispersa* and FAW. We provided hints to support the occurrence of a coevolutionary event between *P. dispersa* and FAW through the transfer of genes, which can endow FAW with its own detoxifcation capabilities, potentially reducing its vulnerability to maize chemical defense during feeding. However, further investigation into this topic is warranted.

Conclusions

In summary, our study revealed that FAW can efectively enrich and recruit *P. dispersa*, a prevalent endophyte in maize, as a member of gut microbiota through feeding. This transformation effectively converts *P. dispersa* into a benefcial probiotic for FAW, by metabolizing anti-herbivore Bx compounds and fostering the growth of FAW. Moreover, the acquired *P. dispersa* can be passed on to the next generation through vertical transfer. The gene clusters within the plasmids of *P. dispersa* involved in Bxs metabolism. These findings offer evidence for the rapid global spread of FAW and provide insights into the complex interactions among plants, pests, and microorganisms. Here, we unveil a remarkable strategy employed by FAW, in which it transforms its host's allies into its own allies, which then aids its rapid adaptation to the antiherbivore compounds accumulated by maize and ultimately facilitates its extensive worldwide distribution.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-024-01957-z) [org/10.1186/s40168-024-01957-z.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-024-01957-z)

Additional file 1: Fig. S1. Map of the geographic locations of the field-collected samples. The latitudes and longitudes of the seven collection sites are as follows: MengLun: 21.892319 N, 101.280868 E; KaiYuan: 23.66561 N, 103.280817 E; ShiLin: 24.41086 N, 98.495312 E; MangShi: 24.41086 N, 98.495312 E; QingYuan: 24.633446 N, 112.331656 E; NanNing: 22.64309 N, 108.234571 E; and SanYa: 18.386363 N, 109.143506 E. Fig. S2. Fluorescence micrographs of maize roots (A-C) and leaves (D-E) taken under visible light (C-D) and UV light (A, B, E). The exposure conditions are the same as those in Fig. [2.](#page-5-0) Fig. S3. Bright feld of Malpighian tubules dissected from FAWlarvae. Fig. S4. Bxs detected in maize crude extract and frass of FAW after metabolism by gut microbiome and *P. dispersa*.(A-E) The 5th instar of normal (Nor, with entire FAW larval microbiome), aseptic (Ase), and *P. dispersa*-inoculated FAW were starved for 4 h. Gut contents from each FAW were collected and inoculated with 200 μl maize crude extract for 3 h, and (F) frass was collected after FAW feeding on surface-sterilized maize leaves for 24 h. In frass, the levels of HDMBOA-Glc were below limits of detection. Diferent letters denote signifcant diferences between groups based on one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's multiple comparison test at *P* < 0.05 (*n* = 5). Fig. S5. Nitrogen fxation by *P. dispersa*. Nitrogen fixation activity measured by acetylene reduction. The data are means \pm SEs. Asterisks indicate signifcant diferences between the control (Con, *P. dispersa* cultured in media supplemented with NH₄Cl) and treatment (*P. dispersa* cultured in media not supplemented with NH₄Cl) groups (Student's *t* test, *n* = 6, ***, *P* < 0.001). Fig. S6. Fluorescence micrographs of FAW testes (A-B), eggs (C-D), and the next generation's Malpighian tubules taken under visible light (A, C, E) and UV light (B, D, F, G). The exposure conditions are the same as those shown in Fig. [2](#page-5-0). Fluorescence in eggs is marked by the red arrows. Fig. S7. No efect of *P. dispersa* on the larval growth of *M. separata*. Aseptic *M. separata* without (Con) or with *P. dispersa*inoculation were fed on wild-type (WT) maize leaves for a period of 10 days. The data are presented as the means ± SEs; *n*= 30. Fig. S8. Candidate genes involved in Bxs metabolism revealed by genome and transcriptome sequencing of *P. dispersa*. (A) Gene map of the *P. dispersa* chromosome and (B-C) gene map of the two *P. dispersa* plasmids. (D) Top upregulated genes related to Bxs metabolism with a log2-fold change > 4 between the control (Con1-3) and Bxs treatment (BX1-3). Fig. S9. GO enrichment analysis of *P. dispersa* genes whose expression was altered in response to Bxs as the sole carbon and nitrogen source. Fig. S10. GO metabolic processes of *P. dispersa* genes when Bxs served as the sole carbon and nitrogen source. Fig. S11. Identifcation of purifed proteins translated from candidate genes involved in Bxs metabolism. Quantitative determination of Bxlevels following metabolism by the purifed proteins translated from candidate genes, with protein from empty vector as a control (Con).Determination of Bxs was performed 3 h after inoculation at 28°C in Hepes buffer (pH 7.0). The data are means \pm SEs. Different letters denote signifcant diferences between groups based on one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's multiple comparison test at *P* < 0.05 (*n* = 5). Fig. S12. Nucleotide sequence alignment of the target genes in two *P. dispersa* strains (OsPD and ZmPD). Number 61 indicates the target gene No. #8. Fig. S13. Nucleotide sequence alignment of the target genes in two *P. dispersa* strains (OsPD and ZmPD). Number 62 indicates the target gene No. #9. Fig. S14. Amino acid sequence alignment of the target genes in two *P. dispersa* strains (OsPD and ZmPD). Number 61 indicates the target gene No. #8. Fig. S15. Amino acid sequence alignment of the target genes in two *P. dispersa* strains (OsPD and ZmPD). Number 62 indicates the target gene No. #9. Fig. S16. Metabolism of Bxs by *P. dispersa* under diferent pH conditions. The levels of Bxs metabolized by *P. dispersa* at 28°C after a 3-hour incubation at pH 5-10 (as the numbers indicated in the abscissa axis). Data are means \pm SEs. Asterisks indicate signifcant diferences between control (Con) and each treatment (e.g., 5+PD indicates *P. dispersa* incubated under a pH of 5) (Student's *t* test, *n* = 6-8; *, *P* < 0.05;**, *P* < 0.01; **, *P* < 0.001).

Additional fle 2: Table S1. The target gene IDs and primers used in this study. Table S2. All diferentially expressed genes in response to Bxs serving as the sole carbon and nitrogen source. Table S3. All diferentially expressed genes involved in fagellin biosynthesis or movement in response to Bxs serving as the sole carbon and nitrogen source.

Additional fle 3: Supplementary note 1 Detailed information on the materials and methods.

Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. Xiaoxia Zhang (Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences) for kindly providing *P. dispersa* strains isolated from rice.

Authors' contributions

HW and JQ designed the project. JQ, FX, XL, JL, HW, SL, HY, YX and HW performed the experiments or data analysis. JQ wrote the manuscript, and the other coauthors contributed by commenting on and revising it. All the authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

Funding

This research was funded by the General and Key Project of Applied Basic Research Program of Yunnan (202201AS070053 and 202301BD070001-009), Yunnan Innovation Team Project (202105AE160013), and the CAS "Light of West China" Program.

Data availability

All the raw sequence data have been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under the accession numbers PRJNA954674, PRJNA998569, and PRJNA1002094. You can fnd them with the following links: [https://www.ncbi.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=PRJNA954674) [nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=PRJNA954674)=PRJNA954674; [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=PRJNA998569) [bioproject/?term](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=PRJNA998569)=PRJNA998569; [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=PRJNA1002094) term=[PRJNA1002094](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=PRJNA1002094).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Department of Economic Plants and Biotechnology, Yunnan Key Laboratory for Wild Plant Resources, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650201, China. ²CAS Center for Excellence in Biotic Interactions, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China. 3 ³ State Key Laboratory of Plant Diversity and Prominent Crops, Beijing 100093, China. 4 Ecology and Environment College, Southwest Forestry University, Kunming 650224, China. ⁵Yunnan Key Laboratory of Green Prevention and Control of Agricultural Transboundary Pests, Agricultural Environment and Resources Institute, Yunnan Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Kunming 650224, China.

Received: 18 June 2024 Accepted: 22 October 2024 Published online: 16 November 2024

References

- Gichuhi J, Sevgan S, Khamis F, Van den Berg J, du Plessis H, et al. Diversity of fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* and their gut bacterial community in Kenya. PeerJ. 2020;8:e8701.
- 2. Qi J, Malook Su, Shen G, Gao L, Zhang C, et al. Current understanding of maize and rice defense against insect herbivores. Plant Diversity. 2018;40(4):189–95.
- 3. Wu J, Baldwin IT. New insights into plant responses to the attack from insect herbivores. Annu Rev Genet. 2010;44:1–24.
- 4. Wouters FC, Blanchette B, Gershenzon J, Vassao DG. Plant defense and herbivore counter-defense: benzoxazinoids and insect herbivores. Phytochem Rev. 2016;15(6):1127–51.
- 5. Meihls LN, Handrick V, Glauser G, Barbier H, Kaur H, et al. Natural variation in maize aphid resistance is associated with 2,4-dihydroxy-7-methoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one glucoside methyltransferase activity. Plant Cell. 2013;25(6):2341–55.
- 6. Guo J, Qi J, He K, Wu J, Bai S, et al. The Asian corn borer *Ostrinia furnacalis* feeding increases the direct and indirect defence of mid-whorl stage commercial maize in the feld. Plant Biotechnol J. 2019;17(1):88–102.
- 7. Glauser G, Marti G, Villard N, Doyen GA, Wolfender JL, et al. Induction and detoxifcation of maize 1,4-benzoxazin-3-ones by insect herbivores. Plant J. 2011;68(5):901–11.
- 8. Kumar S, Furlong M. Plant resistance to insects in major feld crops. Ludhiana: Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd; 2024.
- 9. Yang XQ. Gene expression analysis and enzyme assay reveal a potential role of the carboxylesterase gene CpCE-1 from *Cydia pomonella* in detoxifcation of insecticides. Pestic Biochem Phys. 2016;129:56–62.
- 10. Enayati AA, Ranson H, Hemingway J. Insect glutathione transferases and insecticide resistance. Insect Mol Biol. 2005;14(1):3–8.
- 11. Unkovich MJ, Baldock J, Peoples MB. Prospects and problems of simple linear models for estimating symbiotic N2 fxation by crop and pasture legumes. Plant Soil. 2010;329:75–89.
- 12. Pinto-Tomas AA, Anderson MA, Suen G, Stevenson DM, Chu FST, et al. Symbiotic nitrogen fxation in the fungus gardens of leaf-cutter ants. Science. 2009;326(5956):1120–3.
- 13. Hosokawa T, Ishii Y, Nikoh N, Fujie M, Satoh N, et al. Obligate bacterial mutualists evolving from environmental bacteria in natural insect populations. Nat Microbiol. 2016;1:15011.
- 14. Salem H, Bauer E, Kirsch R, Berasategui A, Cripps M, et al. Drastic genome reduction in an herbivore's pectinolytic symbiont. Cell. 2017;171(7):1–12.
- 15. Ceja-Navarro JA, Vega FE, Karaoz U, Hao Z, Jenkins S, et al. Gut microbiota mediate cafeine detoxifcation in the primary insect pest of cofee. Nat Commun. 2015;6:7618.
- 16. Macias FA, Marin D, Oliveros-Bastidas A, Chinchilla D, Simonet AM, et al. Isolation and synthesis of allelochemicals from gramineae: Benzoxazinones and related compounds. J Agr Food Chem. 2006;54(4):991–1000.
- 17. Zhang CP, Li J, Li S, Ma CR, Liu H, et al. ZmMPK6 and ethylene signalling negatively regulate the accumulation of anti-insect metabolites DIMBOA and DIMBOA-Glc in maize inbred line A188. New Phytol. 2021;229(4):2273–87.
- 18. He P, Li S, Xu S, Fan H, Wang Y, et al. Monitoring tritrophic biocontrol interactions between Bacillus spp., Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cubense, tropical race 4, and banana plants in vivo based on fuorescent transformation system. Front Microbiol. 2021;12:754918.
- 19. Singh P, Singh RK, Li HB, Guo DJ, Sharma A, et al. Diazotrophic bacteria *Pantoea dispersa* and enterobacter asburiae promote sugarcane growth by inducing nitrogen uptake and defense-related gene expression. Front Microbiol. 2021;11:600417.
- 20. Wang H, Qi JF, Xiao DR, Wang ZB, Tian K. A re-evaluation of dilution for eliminating PCR inhibition in soil DNA samples. Soil Biol Biochem. 2017;106:109–18.
- 21. Schubert M, Lindgreen S, Orlando L. AdapterRemoval v2: rapid adapter trimming, identifcation, and read merging. BMC Res Notes. 2016;9:88.
- 22. Koren S, Walenz BP, Berlin K, Miller JR, Bergman NH, et al. Canu: scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat separation. Genome Res. 2017;27(5):722–36.
- 23. Besemer J, Lomsadze A, Borodovsky M. GeneMarkS: a self-training method for prediction of gene starts in microbial genomes. Implications for fnding sequence motifs in regulatory regions. Nucleic Acids Res. 2001;29(12):2607–18.
- 24. Xu YX, Lei YT, Su ZX, Zhao M, Zhang JX, et al. A chromosome-scale *Gastrodia elata* genome and large-scale comparative genomic analysis indicate convergent evolution by gene loss in mycoheterotrophic and parasitic plants. Plant J. 2021;108(6):1609–23.
- 25. Feng KN, Yang YL, Xu YX, Zhang Y, Feng T, et al. A hydrolase-catalyzed cyclization forms the fused bicyclic β-lactone in vibralactone. Angew Chem Int Edit. 2020;59(18):7209–13.
- 26. Gao L, Shen GJ, Zhang LD, Qi JF, Zhang CP, et al. An efficient system composed of maize protoplast transfection and HPLC-MS for studying the biosynthesis and regulation of maize benzoxazinoids. Plant Methods. 2019;15(1):e144.
- 27. de Tercilia Vilela Azeredo O. Review: malpighian tubule, an essential organ for insects. Entomol Ornithol Herpetol. 2014;03(02):1000122.
- 28. Malook SU, Qi JF, Hettenhausen C, Xu YX, Zhang CP, et al. The ori ental armyworm (*Mythimna separata*) feeding induces systemic defence responses within and between maize leaves. Philos T R Soc B. 2019;37:e374.
- 29. Suman A, Shukla L, Marag P, Verma P, Gond S, et al. Potential use of plant colonizing Pantoea as generic plant growth promoting bacteria for cereal crops. J Environ Biol. 2020;41(5):987–94.
- 30. Chen YQ, Guo HB, He SW, Wang X, Zhang J, et al. Phylogenetic diversity and plant growth-promoting characteristics of endophytic Pantoea spp. in rice seeds. Acta Microbiologica Sinica. 2019;59(12):2285–95.
- 31. Zhang X, Ma Y-N, Wang X, Liao K, He S, et al. Dynamics of rice microbi omes reveal core vertically transmitted seed endophytes. Microbiome. 2022;10:216.
- 32. Jiang L, Jeong JC, Lee JS, Park JM, Yang JW, et al. Potential of *Pantoea dispersa* as an efective biocontrol agent for black rot in sweet potato. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):16354.
- 33. Crotti E, Damiani C, Pajoro M, Gonella E, Rizzi A, et al. Asaia, a versatile acetic acid bacterial symbiont, capable of cross-colonizing insects of phylogenetically distant genera and orders. Environ Microbiol. 2009;11(12):3252–64.
- 34. Akami M, Ren XM, Qi XW, Mansour A, Gao BL, et al. Symbiotic bacteria motivate the foraging decision and promote fecundity and survival of *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Diptera: Tephritidae). BMC Microbiol. 2019;19(1):229.
- 35. De Maayer P, Chan WY, Blom J, Venter SN, Dufy B, et al. The large universal *Pantoea* plasmid LPP-1 plays a major role in biological and ecological diversifcation. BMC Genomics. 2012;13:625.
- 36. Cotton TEA, Petriacq P, Cameron DD, Al Meselmani M, Schwarzenbacher R, et al. Metabolic regulation of the maize rhizobiome by benzoxazinoids. Isme J. 2019;13(7):1647–58.
- 37. Hannula SE, Zhu F, Heinen R, Bezemer TM. Foliar-feeding insects acquire microbiomes from the soil rather than the host plant. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):1254.
- 38. Thoenen L, Giroud C, Kreuzer M, Waelchli J, Gfeller V, et al. Bacterial toler ance to host-exuded specialized metabolites structures the maize root microbiome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2023;120(44):e2310134120.
- 39. Cadot S, Guan H, Bigalke M, Walser JC, Jander G, et al. Specifc and con served patterns of microbiota-structuring by maize benzoxazinoids in the feld. Microbiome. 2021;9:103.
- 40. Mason CJ, Peifer M, Chen B, Hoover K, Felton GW. Opposing growth responses of Lepidopteran larvae to the establishment of gut microbiota. Microbiol Spectr. 2022;10(4):e0194122.
- 41. Zhang Z, Peng H, Yang D, Zhang G, Zhang J, et al. Polyvinyl chloride degradation by a bacterium isolated from the gut of insect larvae. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):5360.
- 42. Almeida LG, Moraes LA, Trigo JR, Omoto C, Consoli FL. The gut microbiota of insecticide-resistant insects houses insecticide-degrading bacte ria: a potential source for biotechnological exploitation. PLoS One. 2017;12(3):e0174754.
- 43. Zablen LB, Kissil MS, Woese CR, Buetow DE. Phylogenetic origin of the chloroplast and prokaryotic nature of its ribosomal RNA. P Natl Acad Sci USA. 1975;72(6):2418–22.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in pub lished maps and institutional afliations.